# Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University, Sheringal Quality Enhancement Cell



#### ASSESSMENT TEAM REPORT

Program: BS Forestry
Department of Forestry SBB University

The Assessment Team comprised of the following members visited the Department of Forestry on

12 March, 2014 in order to assess its BS Forestry Program:

#### **Assessment Team Members:**

S.No	Name	Designation
1	Dr. Muhammad Ali	Director Academic
2	Mr. Alamgir Khan	Head of Department
3	Mr. Muhammad Amin	Lecturer
4	Mr. Munawar Zeb	Lecturer

FACTOR					SCORE						
CRITERION 1- PROGRAM MISSION, OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOMES						Remarks					
1. Does the Program have documented measurable objectives that support faculty/college and institution mission statements?	1	2	3	4	5						
2. Does the Program have documented outcomes for graduating students?	1	2	3	4	5						
3. Do these outcomes support the program objectives?	1	2	3	4	5						
4. Are the graduating students capable of performing these outcomes?	1	2	3	4	5						
5. Does the department assess its overall performance periodically using quantifiable measures?	1	2	3	4	5						
6 Is the result of the Program Assessment Documented?	1	2	3	4	5						

Score 1 (S1) = [TV / (No. of Questions \*5 )]\* weight = [28/(6 \* 5 )] \* 100 \* 0.05 =28/(6\*5)\*100\*0.05 =28/30\*5 =4.6

CRITERION – 2 CURRICULUM DESIGN & ORGANIZATION				=0.2	Remarks	
1. Is the curriculum consistent?	1	2	3	4	5	
2. Does the curriculum support the program's Documented objectives?	1	2	3	4	5	
3. Are the theoretical background, problem analysis and solution design stressed within the program's core material?	1	2	3	4	5	
4. Does the curriculum satisfy the core requirements laid down by respective accreditation bodies?				4	5	
(Refer to the Appendix – A of the self Assessment Manual)						
5. Does the curriculum satisfy the major requirements laid down by HEC and respective councils / accreditation bodies? (Refer	1	2	3	4	5	
to annexure A of the self Assessment Manual)						
6. Does the curriculum satisfy the general education, arts and professional and other discipline requirements as laid down by the	1	2	3	4	5	
respective accreditation body / council?						
7. Is the information technology component integrated throughout the program?	1	2	3	4	5	
8. Are oral and written skills of the students developed and applied in program?	1	2	3	4	5	

SCORE (S2)= [TV / (No. of Question \* 5)] \* 100 \* Weight = [36(8 \* 5)] \* 100 \* 0.20 = 36/40 \*20 = 18

CRITERION 3- LABORATORIES AND COMPUTING FACILITIES						Remarks
1. Are laboratory manuals / documentation / instructions etc. for experiments available and readily accessible to faculty and	1	2	3	4	5	
students?						
2. Are there adequate number of Support personnel for instruction and maintaining the laboratories?	1	2	3	4	5	
3. Are the University's infrastructure and facilities adequate to support the program's objectives?	1	2	3	4	5	

### Score 3 (S3) = [TV / (No. of Questions \*5)]\* weight

= [10/(3 \* 5 )] \* 100 \* 0.10 = 10/15 \*10 = 7

CRITERION 4 – STUDENT SUPPORT AND ADVISING				0.1	10	Remarks
1. Are the courses being offered in sufficient frequency and number for the students of complete the program in timely manner?	1	2	3	4	5	
2. Are the courses in the major area structured to optimize interaction between the students, faculty and teaching assistants?	1	2	3	4	5	
3. Does the University Provides academic advising on course decisions and career choices for the students?	1	2	3	4	5	

Score 4 (S4) = [TV / (No. of Questions \*5 )]\* weight = [11/(3 \* 5 )] \* 100 \* 0.10 = 11/15 \*10 = 7.3

CRITERION 5 – PROCESS CONTROL				0. 1	L <b>5</b>	Remarks
1. I s the process to enroll students to a program based on quantitative and qualitative criteria?	1	2	3	4	5	
2. Is the process above clearly documented and periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	1	2	3	4	5	
3. Is the process to register students in the program and monitoring their progress documented?	1	2	3	4	5	
4. Is the process above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	1	2	3	4	5	
5. Is the process to recruit and retain faculty in place and documented?	1	2	3	4	5	
6. Are the processes for faculty evaluation & promotion consistent with the institution Mission?	1	2	3	4	5	
7. Are the processes in 5 and 6 above periodically evaluated to ensure that they are meeting their objectives?	1	2	3	4	5	
8. Do the processes and procedures ensure that teaching and delivery of course material emphasizes active learning and that	1	2	3	4	5	
course learning outcomes are met?						
9. Is the process in 8 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	1	2	3	4	5	
10. Is the process to ensure that graduates have completed the requirements of the program based on standards and	1	2	3	4	5	
documented procedures?						
11. Is the process in 10 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting objectives?	1	2	3	4	5	

Score 5 (S5) = [TV / (No. of Questions \*5 )]\* weight = [44/(11 \* 5 )] \* 100 \* 0.15 = 44/55 \*15 = 12

CRITERION 6 – FACULTY				0.2	0	Remarks
1. Are there enough full time faculty members to provide adequate coverage of the program areas / courses with continuity and stability?	1	2	3	4	5	
2. Are the qualifications and interest of the faculty members sufficient to teach all the courses, plan modify and update courses and curricula?	1	2	3	4	5	
3. Do the faculty members possess a level of competence that would be obtained through graduate work in the discipline?	1	2	3	4	5	
4. Do the majority of faculty members hold a PhD degree in their discipline?	1	2	3	4	5	
5. Do faculty members dedicate sufficient time to research to remain current in their disciplines?		2	3	4	5	
6. Are there mechanisms in place for faculty development?		2	3	4	5	
7. Are faculty members motivated and satisfied so as to excel in their profession?	1	2	3	4	5	

CRITERION 7 – INSTITUTIONAL FACILITIES			ght :	=0. 1	.0	Remarks
1. Does the institution have infrastructure to support new trends such as e-learning?	1	2	3	4	5	
2. Does the library contain technical collection relevant to the program and it is adequately staffed?	1	2	3	4	5	
3. Are the teaching rooms and offices adequately equipped and capable of helping Faculty carry out their responsibilities?	1	2	3	4	5	

CRITERION 8 – INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT	Weight = 0.10	Remarks
1. Is there sufficient support and finances to attract and retain high quality faculty?	1 2 3 4 5	
2. Are there an adequate number of high quality graduate students, teaching assistants and PhD Students?	1 2 3 4 5	

	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	<b>S</b> 6	S7	S8				
	5	20	10	10	15	20	10	10				
Score on each Criterion	4.6	18	7	7.3	12	14.28	6	3				
Overall Assessment Score		72.18										

## **Exit Meeting:**

1.	Dr. Muhammad Ali	
2.	Dr. Muhammad Kamal Khan	
3.	Mr. Alamgir Khan	
4.	Mr. Muhammad Amin	
5.	Mr. Munawar Zeb	
6	Mr. Ibrar Hussain	